



1644th 17

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2001

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Applicant : Naveen N. Anand et al
Appl'n. No. : 09/007,093
Filed : January 14, 1998
Title : CHIMERIC ANTIBODIES FOR DELIVERY OF ANTIGENS
TO SELECTED CELLS OF THE IMMUNE SYSTEM
Grp./A.U. : 1644
Examiner : M. Tung
Docket No. : 1038-765 MIS

April 27, 2001

BY COURIER

The Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks,
Washington, D.C. 20231,
U.S.A.

Sir:

This Communication is in response to the Office Action of April 2,
2001.

The Examiner indicates that the reply filed on July 3, 2000, paper no.
12, is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action on the basis of the following:
"... because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the amendment
to claim 1 contains additional recitations already added in the
amendment filed 10/6/99, Paper No. 8".

However, applicants Amendment after Final Action, Paper No. 12, contains no
change to claim 1. It is agreed with the Examiner that:

"... 'the entire' in claim 1, line 2 and 'said monoclonal antibody moiety
being' in lines 3 and 4 were included in Paper No. 8."


However, the changes to the claims contained in the Amendment after
Final Action filed July 3, 2000 were to claim 5 to place it in independent form. All the

limitations of the amended form of claim 1 as amended October 8, 1999 were incorporated into the rewritten claim 5.

It is submitted that there is no correction required to the Amendment to claim 5 dated July 3, 2000 and that the Examiner should be in a position to examine the claims. The current wording intended by the applicant is that of the claims following entry of the amended form of claim 5.

Respectfully submitted,

SIM & McBURNEY

BY: 

M.I. Stewart

Reg. No. 24,973

Toronto, Ontario, Canada,
(416) 595-1155
FAX No. (416) 595-1163